

## SCHOOL OF EDUCATION SATISFACTION SURVEYS

LAST UPDATED: JULY 8, 2020

Tables 1-9 displays the results for questions related to program preparation. Overall, supervisors and mentors reported that teacher candidates were "sufficiently prepared" or "well prepared" (responded either "3" or "4" on a four-point scale), similarly teacher candidates self-reported they were "sufficiently prepared" or "well prepared."

		Tak	ole 1: T	eacher Ca	indidate Cor	mpetencies				
		Fall 2019					Spring 2020	)		
Teacher candidate identifies and understands the competency areas of an effective teacher (TEAM/TN Standards).	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.14	100%	15	14	1.09	3.58	96%	27	24	.58
Mentor	3.57	93%	20	14	.64	3.58	88%	19	17	.71
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70					

		Fall 2019					Sp	ring 202	0	
Teacher candidate demonstrates the content knowledge needed by an effective teacher.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.43	100%	15	14	.51	3.83	100%	27	24	.38
Mentor	3.57	100%	20	14	.51	3.47	88%	19	17	.87
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.05	89%	19	18	1.0

		Table 3: Teac	her Canc	lidate Use c	of Technolo	gy				
		Fall 19					Sp	ring 202	0	
Teacher candidate effectively uses technology for instruction.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.36	86%	15	14	.74	3.70	100%	27	24	.46
Mentor	3.14	86%	20	14	.66	3.41	94%	19	17	.61
Teacher Candidate	4	100%	5	2	0	2.88	61%	19	18	1.23

		Table 4: Te	acher Ca	ndidate As	sessment K	nowledge				
		Fall 2019					Sp	ring 202	.0	
Teacher candidate knows how to monitor student achievement with formal and informal assessments.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.21	93%	15	14	.58	3.41	91%	27	24	.65
Mentor	3.35	93%	20	14	.63	3.41	88%	19	17	.87
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.11	83%	19	18	1.13

		Table 5: Tea Fall 2019					IqS	ing 202	0	
Teacher candidate demonstrates that she/he can support the learning of all students (i.e., exceptionalities, diverse ethnic/racial, linguistic, gender, SES).	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.07	86%	15	14	.62	3.5	96%	27	24	.58
Mentor	2.92	79%	20	14	.61	3.29	82%	19	17	.77
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.17	67%	19	18	1.1

		Table 6: Teach	er Candi	date Class	room Mana	agement				
		Fall 2019					Spri	ing 2020	)	
Teacher candidate demonstrates effective classroom management strategies.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.29	93%	15	14	.61	3.29	92%	27	24	.90
Mentor	3.5	100%	15	14	.51	3.47	88%	19	17	.87
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.22	72%	19	18	1.17

	Ta	ble 7: Teache	r Candida	ite Know	ledge of P	rofessional G	rowth Needs			
		ı	all 2019				Spri	ng 2020		
Teacher candidate knows his/her strengths and limitations regarding teacher knowledge, skills, and dispositions for their professional growth	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor		3.21	86%	15	14	3.54	96%	27	24	.58
Mentor		3.92	100%	20	14	3.88	100%	19	17	.33
Teacher Candidate		4	100%	5	2	3.33	94%	19	18	.97

		Table 8: Teach	er Candi	idate Profe	ssional Den	neanor				
		Fa	II 2019				Spi	ring 202	0	
Teacher candidate demonstrates a positive professional demeanor and commitment to the professional during clinical practice.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.5	93%	15	14	.65	3.79	96%	27	24	.50
Mentor	3.92	86%	20	14	.26	3.52	94%	19	17	1
Teacher Candidate	4	100%	5	2	0	3.55	94%	19	18	.98

		Table 9: Te	acher Ca	ndidate Pro	ogram Prepa	aration				
		Fall 2019					Sp	ring 202	.0	
Teacher candidate's program prepared them with necessary skills to become a successful teacher.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.43	93%	15	14	.65	3.75	100%	27	24	.44
Mentor	3.78	100%	20	14	.42	3.70	94%	19	17	.58
Teacher Candidate	4	100%	5	2	0	3.33	89%	19	18	1.02

Satisfaction: University Supervisor Table 10 and 11 displays the results for questions related to how satisfied student teachers and mentors were with the university supervisor. According to teacher candidates, they reported their University Supervisor provided frequent visits and feedback (score of 4 on a 4 point scale with 4 being frequent, 2 rarely, 3 occasionally, and 1 not at all). Only 29% of mentor teachers reported that supervisors provided frequent feedback and 36% reported that supervisors made frequent visits.

		Fall 2019					9	Spring 202	0	
	Mean	% 4	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4	NS	NR	SD
		(Frequently)					(Frequently	/)		
My University Supervisor provided feedback regarding my progress and performance.	4	100%	5	2	0	3.38	94%	19	18	1.28
My University Supervisor made bi-weekly visits to provide support.	4	100%	5	2	0	3.27	89%	19	18	1.32

			Table 11 :	Mentor Su	pervisor Sat	isfaction				
	Mean	% 4 (Frequent)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequent)	NS	NR	SD
The University Supervisor provided feedback regarding teacher candidate's progress and performance.	2.78	29%	15	14	.97	3.64	76%	19	17	.70
The University Supervisor made bi-weekly visits to provide support.	2.86	36%	15	14	1.06	3.64	94%	19	17	.60

Satisfaction: Mentor Teacher Table 12 displays the results for questions about the teacher candidate's satisfaction with their mentor teacher.

Table 12		Candidate Mento					0/ 4			
	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD
My mentor teacher provided feedback regarding my progress and performance.	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	2.83	61%	19	18	1.33
My mentor teacher modeled the qualities of an effective educator (TEAM 4+).	4	100%	5	2	0	3.27	78%	19	18	1.31
My mentor teacher effectively used technology for instruction	3	50%	5		1.41	3.38	89%	19	18	1.28
My mentor teacher provided opportunities for various levels of responsibility (i.e., planning, assessment, instruction, management).	4	100%	5	2	0	3.55	94%	19	18	.78
My mentor teacher demonstrated a positive professional demeanor and commitment to the profession.	4	100%	5	2	0	3.5	89%	19	18	.85

Satisfaction: Supervisor Mentor Table 13 displays the results for questions about the Supervisor's satisfaction with their mentor teacher.

Tab	le 13 : Supe	rvisor Mentor Sa	atisfaction	1						
	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD
The mentor teacher provided feedback regarding my progress and performance.	2.57	7%	15	14	.94	3.47	96%	19	24	.61
The mentor teacher modeled the qualities of an effective educator (TEAM 4+).	3.43	67%	15	14	.76	3.8	96%	19	24	.89
The mentor teacher effectively used technology for instruction	3.57	75%	15	14	.65	3.84	100%	19	24	.37
The mentor teacher provided opportunities for various levels of responsibility (i.e., planning, assessment, instruction, management).	3.54	58%	15	14	.66	3.89	100%	19	24	.31
The mentor teacher demonstrated a positive professional demeanor and commitment to the profession.	3.79	92%	15	14	.43	3.5	96%	19	24	1.23